Morton court at Federal District Court Central California

CASE # CR-15-00611-SVW

sean morton

c/o 565 Pier Avenue #1274

Hermosa Beach, California

Non domestic, without the United States

mortoncourt@protonmail.com



I object to the unconstitutional nature of the entire proceeding in US District Court as well as the unconstitutional nature of any sentencing and verdict.

I also withdraw my plea deal made under threat duress and coercion.

- 1. I, sean, claim United Stated District Court Central District California fraudulent void case against me called 15-00611
- 2. US Constitution grants Congress legislative power to USA 10 square miles D.C. and to the several states singular. None of the several states are a party to this case, only D.C.— US Constitution Article I, Sect. I All legislative Powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States, which shall consist of a Senate and House of Representatives.
- 3. I object to US Federal District Court Central California, inferior court US

 Constitution, Article I, Sect. 8, Claus 9 The Congress shall have Power To

 constitute Tribunals inferior to the supreme Court;
- 4. I object to the extended long arm reach of arbitrary powers who have only exclusive jurisdiction Question: Where is your delegation of authority order? US Constitution Article I, Sect. 8, Claus 17 The Congress shall have Power To exercise exclusive Legislation in all Cases

whatsoever, over such District (not exceeding ten Miles square) as may, by Cession of particular States, and the Acceptance of Congress, become the Seat of the Government of the United States, and to exercise like Authority over all Places purchased by the Consent of the Legislature of the State in which the Same shall be, for the Erection of Forts, Magazines, Arsenals, dock-Yards, and other needful Buildings;— And

- 5. I object to US Federal District Court Central California, article III military tribunal venue US Constitution, Article III, Section 2, The judicial Power shall extend to all Cases, in Law and Equity, arising under this Constitution, the Laws of the United States, and Treaties made, or which shall be made, under their Authority;—to all Cases affecting Ambassadors, other public Ministers and Consuls;—to all Cases of admiralty and maritime Jurisdiction;—to Controversies to which the United States shall be a Party;—to Controversies between two or more States;—between a State and Citizens of another State; —between Citizens of different States, —between Citizens of the same State claiming Lands under Grants of different States, and between a State, or the Citizens thereof, and foreign States, Citizens or Subjects.
- 6. I object to Stephen Wilson acting as a judge who has now a personal vendetta against me because I am calling him out on not acting judicially but merely administratively in a private venue and I filed a complaint against him · US Constitution, Article VI, Claus II · This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof, and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby, any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding.
- 7. I object to Stephen Wilson acting as a judge who has an oath of office to the US Constitution –US Constitution, Article VI, Claus III · The Senators and Representatives before mentioned, and the Members of the several State Legislatures, and all executive and judicial Officers, both of the United States and of the several States, shall be bound by Oath or Affirmation, to support this Constitution; but no religious Test shall ever be required as a

Qualification to any Office or public Trust under the United States.

- 8. I object to the order for me to not challenge jurisdiction, it is my freedom of speech in a PUBLIC courthouse **Question**: is this a public or private venue? US Constitution Amendment I Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances. AND; "A court cannot confer jurisdiction where none existed and cannot make a void proceeding valid. It is clear and well established law that a void order can be challenged in any court", OLD WAYNE MUT. L. ASSOC. v. McDONOUGH, 204 U. S. 8, 27 S. Ct. 236 (1907).
- 9. I object to the fake constitutional standing of the plaintiff Using an article III civil court and changing it into Criminal AMENDMENT IV US

 Constitution The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.
- 10. I object to the fraudulent warrant for probable cause -4^{th} amendment US Constitution The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.
- 11. I object to Valerie M. and James C. Hughes having 2nd hand knowledge hearsay acting with fraudulent constitutional standing for the plaintiff. 4th amendment US Constitution The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized. AND; US Constitution Amendment VI In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall

enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the Assistance of Counsel for his defence.

- 12. I object to Stephen Wilson's denial of my due process protected by the US Constitution US Constitution AMENDMENT V No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the Militia, when in actual service in time of War or public danger; nor shall any person be subject for the same offence to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in
 - 13. I reserve all my rights protected by the 9th and 10th amendments of the US Constitution at my prerogative in this court of record.

Amendment 9 US Constitution - The <u>enumeration</u> in the Constitution of certain rights shall not be <u>construed</u> to <u>deny</u> or <u>disparage</u> others <u>retained</u> by the people

Amendment 10 US Constitution - The powers not <u>delegated</u> to the United States by the Constitution, nor <u>prohibited</u> by <u>it</u> to the states, are <u>reserved</u> to the states respectively, or to the people.

I certify the foregoing is true and correct according to common law.

sean: Som Sewel morton
Executed on June 19 2017.